

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,078	03/15/2004	Krzysztof Palczewski	029060-000200US	9475
70680 Patentique PL	7590 09/27/201	0	EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 5036	58	HUANG, GIGI GEORGIANA		
Bellevue, WA	98015		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/27/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/801,078	PALCZEWSKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
GIGI HUANG	1617	

	GIGI HUANG	1617							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.							
1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.3.1; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set torth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
NOTICE OF APPEAL	" "# 07 OFD 44 07	The state of the state of the state of							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(e)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>									
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 									
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or									
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)						
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / tinonamont (i	TOL OL+).						
Dimensional steply has overcome the binoming rejection(s). would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).									
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of						
Claim(s) rejected: 52-71. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).									
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:									
	/Zohreh A Fay/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1627							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claim amendment will not be entered as it requires further consideration and an application of new grounds of rejection for the record, as the amendment does not read on the currently elected and recited compound of the claims and current reflections of record.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments are directed to the amendment which is not entered. It is noted to Applicant that the argument that the claims are cancelled for the 1121st written description and 112 2nd indefiniteness for conditions encompassed by the P29H mutation addressed by the phrase "suffereing loss of photoreceptor function due to expression of a mutant human opsin protetin with a substititution of Proline 23 by Histidien (P29H mutant opsin protein)" recited in the claims froctated calams/recitation is still present. The rejections of record are maintained.